Tenure Unit Review and Recommendation - Proposal

In all but rare cases, the supervisor of the tenure unit and a promotion and tenure review committee formed from among the faculty within the unit (at or above the rank for which the candidate is being considered). The supervisor and the promotion and tenure review committee will each independently evaluate the materials in the candidate’s dossier, and recommend either for or against the candidate's promotion or tenure. If there are not enough faculty of the appropriate rank within the unit (there should be at least three on the committee), the supervisor can invite faculty from outside the unit to serve as voting members on the committee. Retired faculty (even those on 1040 assignments) are not eligible to vote at the tenure unit level. If the individual serving in the department chair/head role is on a 1040 assignment, he/she can write the supervisor’s letter of evaluation. Committees may include faculty at all ranks who can contribute to the discussion, but not every committee member may be eligible to vote. For fixed-term candidates being considered for promotion, only faculty members above the current rank of the candidate may vote. For tenured candidates being considered for promotion or untenured candidates being considered for both promotion and tenure, only tenured faculty members above the current rank of the candidate may vote. For untenured candidates being considered solely for tenure, only tenured faculty members at or above the current rank of the candidate may vote.

The supervisor will also consult the candidate's personnel file maintained in the unit. In the supervisor’s letter of evaluation, he or she will comment on any information in that file that is relevant to the evaluation of assigned duties, scholarship, collegiality, professional integrity, or willingness to accept and cooperate in assignments. Guidelines for dossier preparation and content are provided at http://oregonstate.edu/facultystaff/handbook/dosguide.html. The supervisor and the committee will each independently recommend either for or against the candidate's promotion and/or tenure and provide the rationale for their decision in a formal letter.
Promotion And Tenure Review Committee

The unit P&T committee is intended to be an independent voice of evaluation that is identified within the unit whose membership is determined by a transparent process approved by the tenured and tenure-track faculty within the unit. The composition and size of the committee should provide fair and diverse faculty representation within the unit. The composition of the committee should also reflect the candidate’s position description, area of expertise, programs of study, location, etc. Committees may include faculty at all ranks who can contribute to the discussion, but not every committee member may be eligible to vote. The committee must include at least three voting members. For fixed-term candidates being considered for promotion, only faculty members above the current rank of the candidate may vote. For tenured candidates being considered for promotion or untenured candidates being considered for both promotion and tenure, only tenured faculty members above the current rank of the candidate may vote. For untenured candidates being considered solely for tenure, only tenured faculty members at or above the current rank of the candidate may vote. If there are not enough faculty of the appropriate rank within the unit, members from outside of the unit will be elected by the faculty to serve as voting members on the committee. Retired faculty (even those on 1040 assignments) are not eligible to vote at the tenure unit level.

The Supervisor’s Role

In addition to the information available in the candidate’s dossier, the supervisor will also consult the candidate's personnel file maintained in the unit. In The supervisor’s letter of evaluation, he or she will comment may include comments on any information in that the candidate’s file that is relevant to the evaluation of assigned duties, scholarship, collegiality, professional integrity, or willingness to accept and cooperate in assignments. If the individual serving in the department unit chair/head role is on a 1040 assignment, he/she can write the supervisor’s letter of evaluation.

Peer Evaluations

Peer review is an important and necessary mechanism to evaluate each assignment within the candidate’s position description. Faculty with teaching, and/or Extension, outreach, clinical or other assignments should have a letters from their each peer teaching review committee that summarizes all peer teaching reviews over the evaluation timeframe process. Each unit should have procedures in place to assure a peer review process for each assignment. Scholarship peer evaluation is achieved through external letters using
the process outlined in section IX of the dossier preparation guidelines http://oregonstate.edu/facultystaff/handbook/dosguide.html.

**Student Input**

As required by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education, students will be invited to participate in the review of faculty for promotion and tenure. The purpose of the student evaluation letter is to document the student perspective of the candidate’s effectiveness as a teacher and advisor. In order to provide the university with a consistent source of information for the process, the unit P&T committee and the unit supervisor should endeavor to organize student committees for faculty evaluation using the process outlined in section VI of the dossier preparation guidelines http://oregonstate.edu/facultystaff/handbook/dosguide.html.

**Unit Letters of Evaluation of the Candidate**

The letters from the supervisor and the promotion and tenure review committee are to evaluate the relative strengths and weaknesses of the candidate’s performance. If the candidate reports to, or works closely with, more than one supervisor and more than one unit, letters from each supervisor and unit P&T committee should be included. These letters should summarize and comment on key points in the letters of evaluation solicited from qualified reviewers in the candidate's field dossier based on the proportions of responsibilities identified in the position description, and summarize all peer and external solicited evaluations. External evaluators should be identified only by a coded reference number or letter key when their referring to comments in a are cited from these confidential letters.

**Report to the Candidate**

Prior to the dossier leaving the unit, the unit supervisor will be required to meet with the candidate to share the outcomes of the unit reviews prior to the dossier being forwarded to the next level for review. The candidate may add a written statement regarding these reviews, to be included in the dossier. In addition, at any time during the review process the candidate may withdraw his or her dossier.

If both the supervisor's and the committee's recommendations are negative, the dossier will not be forwarded to the next level of review, unless the candidate, following discussion with the supervisor, insists, or the candidate is in the final year of annual tenure. In such cases the dossier must be forwarded for consideration, unless the candidate submits a letter of resignation.