MEMBERS PRESENT:

L. Friedman – Public Health (Co-Chair)
J. Drexler—Business
L. Flick – Science and Math Education
J. Good -- College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences
K. Higgins – Education
K. Hunter-Zaworski -- Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering

NON-VOTING MEMBERS, SUPPORT STAFF, AND GUESTS PRESENT:

M. Abbott – Academic Programs
B. Allen -- Library
G. Beach – Academic Programs
B. Burton – Academic Programs
W. Loveland – Budgets & Fiscal Planning Committee
P. Minear – Extended Campus
M. Patterson -- Catalog
A. Tucker – Academic Programs
Jim Coakley – Business (Guest)

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The minutes from March 6th were approved with amendments.

REPORT FROM CURRICULUM COUNCIL CHAIRS (C. Boyer and L. Friedman)
-- L Friedman pointed out that P. Minear cannot serve as chair of the Undergraduate Academic Program Review committee for Academic Learning Services (scheduled to take place in Spring 2003), because she is not a voting member of the Curriculum Council. J. Drexler and K. Higgins volunteered to co-chair the review committee instead, on the condition that the review be postponed until Fall 2003. B. Burton agreed. The ALS self-study will proceed in Spring 2003, with the review taking place in Fall 2003.

II. REPORT FROM ACADEMIC PROGRAMS (B. Burton) –
B. Burton announced that curriculum workshops are scheduled for Monday, March 17th and Tuesday, April 22nd.

III. OLD BUSINESS
No old business was discussed.
IV. NEW BUSINESS

a) **Category II proposal: new minor in Entrepreneurship from College of Business (J. Coakley)** – J. Coakley explained that the proposal is currently a collaborative effort between the College of Business and the College of Engineering, but that it would likely have a broader focus in the future. The program is associated with the Austin Family Business program, the College of Engineering’s campaign for top 25 status, and the Weatherford Hall entrepreneurship program.

Council members questioned whether the traditional budget allocation model would provide enough resources to cover recurring costs for the program. J. Coakley noted that there is also interest in the program from other donors. The Council expressed concern about whether the new minor would increase demand for business classes that already have access issues, and J. Coakley explained that the minor may in fact help the College of Business predict and manage demand for those courses. B. Burton questioned whether resources would be diverted from other programs in order to support the new minor, and J. Coakley explained that there may be a shift in enrollment in the business minor to the entrepreneurship minor. There was general concern among Council members about whether the budget for the new minor was realistic, particularly with respect to salaries for new FTE needed in upcoming years.

After J. Coakley left the meeting, the Council discussed the proposal further. There was clarification that the minor is not available for business majors, but is designed for students in other technical majors. K. Hunter-Zaworski raised a question about the 467 capstone course, which appeared to replace the capstone course in other engineering majors. She questioned whether the Engineering departments had approved this and whether it would cause problems with ABET accreditation of Engineering. The Council would like further clarification on the issue, as well as whether BA215 would be waived for students who had taken BA 211 and 213.

*Decision:* The Curriculum Council approved the Category II proposal for a new minor in Entrepreneurship, contingent upon clarification on whether the 467 capstone course replaces the capstone course within a student's major.

b) **OSU 2007 recommendations—impact on curriculum and undergraduate education** – The Council discussed the Word document containing OSU 2007 recommendations which was circulated by email. Though there are currently no specific initiatives awaiting Curriculum Council approval, several of the issues raised in the recommendations are likely to have curricular impact and the Council may want to be involved in the implementation. Members questioned the role of the Curriculum Council in the new cluster model, and expressed concern about the level of faculty oversight on curricular issues. L.
Friedman suggested that the recommendations were still too amorphous to warrant action or decision by the Curriculum Council, and B. Burton agreed to continue to track the recommendations and report back to the Council.

NEXT MEETING: Thursday, April 3rd, 1:15-3:00pm in the MU Board Room.

To check the status of Category I and Category II proposals, visit:

http://osu.orst.edu/admin/uap/curriculum_database/ for all Category I proposals, for Category II proposals dealing with degree requirements, minors, and options, and for all Baccalaureate Core course proposals.

http://curriculum.bus.oregonstate.edu/search.aspx for Category II proposals for new courses, course changes, or dropping courses (submitted through the online system).

Course proposal actions taken by the Council since the last meeting (3/6/03-3/13/03)*:
* These proposals become final when the minutes are approved.
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