The regular monthly meeting of the Faculty Senate was called to order by President Jack Higginbotham at 3:01 PM on June 9, 2011 in the LaSells Stewart Center.

Meeting Summary

- **Action Items:** Consideration of Degree Candidates – K. Kuo; Standing Rules Revisions: Administrative Appointments Committee and Academic Standing Committee; Category I Proposals – M. Bailey: Create a School of Public Policy with the Departments of Economics, Political Science and Sociology, Professional Science Masters (PSM) in Fisheries and Wildlife Administration, Initiation of a New Instructional Program Leading to the MA in Women Studies, Reorganize the College of Health & Human Sciences into the College of Public Health and Human Sciences, Accelerated Baccalaureate (BA/BS)/Law (JD) Degree Program, and Rename General Agriculture to Agricultural Sciences
- **Special Reports:** Joint Task Force on Shared Governance – L. Ciuffetti, B. Warner; and Athletics Update – B. DeCarolis, J. Spatafora

**ACTION ITEMS**

**Consideration of Degree Candidates**

Kent Kuo, Registrar, recommended for approval the proposed lists of degree candidates and honors subject to final confirmation of all degree requirements. There were 4,619 students who were candidates for 4,815 degrees which included: 3,767 Bachelors, 735 Masters, 172 Doctors and 141 Professional Doctor degrees. There were 182 students who were candidates for 2 degrees and 7 students were candidates for 3 degrees.

The Class of 2011, OSU's 142nd graduating class, had 1,162 seniors who qualified for Academic Distinction and included 531 'cum laude' (gpa 3.50-3.69), 374 'magna cum laude' (gpa 3.70-3.84), and 257 'summa cum laude' (gpa 3.85 and above).

President Higginbotham started what he hopes will be a new tradition by asking Immediate Past President Leslie Burns to make the motion to approve the class of 2011 as described by Kent Kuo, motion was seconded by President-Elect Kate Hunter-Zaworski; motion passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

*Motion 11-659-01 to approve the proposed list of degree candidates and honors passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.*

**Standing Rules** – President Higginbotham presented proposed revisions to the below Standing Rules in the absence of the Committee on Committees chair.

- **Administrative Appointments Committee**
  There was no discussion regarding the proposed revisions (see [http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/AAC110603.pdf](http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/AAC110603.pdf)). Motion 11-659-02 was made by Senator Selker to approve the minutes; motion seconded; motion passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

- **Academic Standing Committee**
  There was no discussion regarding the proposed revisions (see [http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/ASC110603.pdf](http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/ASC110603.pdf)). Motion 11-659-03 was
made to approve the proposed revisions to the Academic Standing Committee; motion seconded; motion passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

**Category I Proposals**

Mike Bailey, Curriculum Council Chair, presented the following Category I proposals for approval. He noted that all the proposals were unanimously approved by the Curriculum Council, after much discussion and conferring with proposers.

- **Create a School of Public Policy with the Departments of Economics, Political Science, and Sociology** – [https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81190](https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81190)
  - There will be no changes to existing undergraduate programs nor to the Masters in Public Policy; this is basically a reorganization; the budget impact is slight; and appropriate liaisons were done.
  - There were no questions from the floor.
  - Motion 11-659-04 to Create a School of Public Policy with the Departments of Economics, Political Science and Sociology passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

- **Professional Science Masters (PSM) in Fisheries and Wildlife Administration** – [https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/80952](https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/80952)
  - This is a 57-credit non-thesis option; primarily being offered via Ecampus; targeted to working professionals, but anyone may enroll; four core programs that all students must take; and significant liaison was done.
  - There were no questions from the floor.
  - Motion 11-659-04 to approve the Professional Science Masters (PSM) in Fisheries and Wildlife Administration was seconded and passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

- **Initiation of a New Instructional Program Leading to the MA in Women Studies** – [https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81423](https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81423)
  - The program better prepares students for doctoral work and social issues; builds on graduate minor; new courses are being created which will strengthen both the graduate and undergraduate programs; minimal budget impact; and there was extensive external liaison and internal liaison, including student surveys, to establish the demand for the degree.
  - In response to a query about admission requirements, Susan Shaw responded that students must have a 3.0 background in Women Studies, but no GRE is required; it is similar to the MAIS.
  - Motion 11-659-05 for the Initiation of a New Instructional Program Leading to the MA in Women Studies was seconded and passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

- **Reorganize the College of Health & Human Sciences into the College of Public Health and Human Sciences** – [https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81646](https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81646)
  - Bailey explained the proposed organizational chart; there is a small budget impact related to name change transitions; no curricular changes or to majors or minors; there was a discussion around qualifying the use of specific terms, such as ‘Biological’, ‘Population’ and ‘Social and Behavioral’ – it was determined that the terms could vary depending on the department; and external and internal liaisons were done.
  - There was no discussion from the floor.
• Motion 11-659-06 to Reorganize the College of Health & Human Sciences into the College of Public Health and Human Sciences was seconded and passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

• Accelerated Baccalaureate (BA/BS)/Law (JD) Degree Program – https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81973

  o Bailey explained that this is a combined degree program between OSU and Willamette University and, after three years at OSU and three years at WU, results in a JD law degree from Willamette; it ends up being a six-year program rather than the normal seven years; admission to WU requires a combined SAT, OSU GPA and a specific LSAT score – anticipates 25 students per year; great opportunity for students; no detrimental curricular impact; and the budget impact relates to a part-time advisor; there was appropriate internal liaison; and the University Honors College will be watching to determine how this affects their students. There were three issues: 1) If a student is in their 3rd at OSU year and doesn’t meet WU requirements, they finish the 4th year at OSU and then apply to WU normally; 2) UHC students are not eligible because they spend their 4th year on their Honors thesis and then can apply to WU; and 3) the Registrar’s Office determined that the proposal does satisfy residency requirements.

  o Burns, Executive Committee, understood that this would be open to any OSU student, but appears to be limited to specific students. Bailey noted that the proposal lists only College of Liberal Arts departments. Mike Oriard, Liberal Arts, explained that this is a joint program between CLA and the WU Law School, because their students have enough electives built in to qualify, but that it could eventually be extended to other departments and colleges; initially it is just CLA.

  o Senator Trujillo, Liberal Arts, is a member of a department listed in the proposal, but noted the proposal was not discussed with his department and questioned what ‘participation’ means. Oriard noted that Foreign Languages and Literatures was included as a department that may have eligible students, but doesn’t obligate the department in any way. In response to Oriard’s request, Psychology was the only unit to respond that the proposal would not work for their students; he also noted that Foreign Languages and Literatures did not respond to his request.

  o Senator Orosco, Liberal Arts, noted the proposal was a joint 3+3 program and questioned whether transfer students’ previous two years of courses count as OSU credits. Oriard responded that the proposal allows for students with transfer credits, he couldn't recall residency requirement, and that transfers must have high school GPA and requirements. Kent Kuo, Registrar, noted that the proposal has built-in limits including 45 credits of OSU coursework immediately prior to joining the program which precludes a student coming straight out of another institution into the law program since they must still take 45 OSU credits prior to matriculation into the program. Regarding residency, he noted that AR25.f.1 provides a clause for professional programs to be finished at another institution.

  o Senator Barker, Liberal Arts, questioned the listed participating departments and noted that Sociology has a concentration in law and criminology, but is not listed in the proposal. Oriard indicated that Sociology should have been included and asked that the proposal be
amended to include Sociology; this was considered as a friendly amendment.

- Motion 11-659-07 to approve the Accelerated Baccalaureate (BA/BS)/Law (JD) Degree Program, as amended by inclusion of the Sociology Department; motion seconded; passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes

- **Rename General Agriculture to Agricultural Sciences** – [https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81381](https://secure.oregonstate.edu/ap/cps/proposals/view/81381)
  - The rename gives the program more credibility; in the field 'General Agriculture' is not very robust and does not reflect the scientific nature of the coursework; there is no change in curriculum; there was a very compelling letter from a graduate student about how the name is perceived in the industry; and internal liaison was supportive;
  - Motion 11-659-08 to Rename General Agriculture to Agricultural Sciences was seconded; there was no discussion from the floor; and the motion passed by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

Higginbotham expressed appreciation for the Faculty Senate committees and councils involved in the curricular approval process. He noted he has asked the Budgets & Fiscal Planning Committee, Curriculum Council and Graduate Council to work on proposals as needed during the summer to ensure that proposals will be ready to be considered at the October Senate meeting.

**SPECIAL REPORTS**

**Joint Task Force on Shared Governance**

Lynda Ciuffetti and Becky Warner, task force co-chairs, presented the final report (see [http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/SGTF1106.pdf](http://oregonstate.edu/senate/agen/2011/SGTF1106.pdf)) for endorsement by the Faculty Senate.

Ciuffetti thanked the task force members and noted that the task force feels they have completed their charge. Ciuffetti began by reading the charge, *To review and recommend policies and actions that will enhance the effectiveness of shared governance at all levels of the University*, and noted there was a clarification from Provost Randhawa and Past Faculty Senate President Paul Doescher that the charge was to focus on faculty; providing an explanation of the process taken by the task force; noting that all task force members accepted the report and all recommendations; and indicating they felt that the report would improve and enhance shared governance at all levels of the university.

- Senator Orosco thanked the members who drafted the report and thanked Paul Doescher for initiating the shared governance discussion. He expressed concern about the report based on the definition of shared governance and felt there are different concepts of shared governance operating in the report and that all are not compatible with one another. He noted that a 2004 study of about 700 Faculty Senates published by the National Education Association determined that there are multiple definitions of shared governance and he identified three: 1) fully collaborative – all stakeholders participate in decision making; 2) stratified decision making – different stakeholders have different expertise in different areas of university governance and certain stakeholders should be given primacy in making decisions over the areas in which they have expertise; 3) consultative shared governance – there is a primary decision maker, i.e., administration, and that other stakeholders may be consulted by administration but, ultimately, primary authority makes
the final decision. He felt that all three are contained in the report and didn’t feel they are compatible. He noted that other associations endorse a stratified decision-making model and it should be clear who has final decisions over which areas related to shared governance. The model in the report is not clear since it indicates shared decision making without delineating areas of expertise. Additionally, the comments appear clear to him that there are overlapping issues of authority where they feel that particular individuals should be identified and have authority; he felt that there are definitions that need clarification and that the model in the document may be a cross purposes.

- Ciuffetti agreed that all three definitions are in the report and it’s not by mistake. Regarding curriculum, the report states it is owned by the Faculty Senate and was used as an example for why it’s important for faculty to participate in Faculty Senate. She noted that another layer above us is the Oregon State Board of Higher Education and stated that faculty do not have the absolute final decision on various items. OSBHE has delegated to OUS institutional presidents the authority to make decisions on structural and organizational changes, as long as the decisions do not involve the creation or elimination of degree programs.

- Regarding decision-making models noted by Orosco, Ciuffetti used the example that structural and organizational changes are accomplished via abbreviated Category I proposals and these proposal don’t go beyond OSU. Based on Faculty Senate recommendations and academic colleges, the provost recommends to the president for approval, or not, of an abbreviated Category I proposal – the president has responsibility to make the ultimate decision. In some cases decisions rest with the institution, while other decisions require approval by the OUS Provost’s Council and OSBHE. Approval of Category II proposals are not necessarily reported to the Provost, but faculty approve Promotion and Tenure revisions prior to the president’s approval. Ciuffetti noted that some decisions are beyond the control of the faculty and they don’t have the final authority.

- Warner appreciated Orosco’s comments and noted that the task force received no negative feedback related to curriculum and promotion and tenure. The task force focused on full-cycle decision-making that appeared to be top down.

- Meg Reeves, Legal Counsel, noted that some issues are subject to the OSBHE, according to the Oregon Revised Statutes.

- Senator Selker, Agricultural Sciences, felt positive about shared governance at OSU. He questioned how the flow of some recent process decisions may have changed if the recommendations in the report had been in effect, specifically the announcement of division structures and the conversation with the Faculty Senate prior to the announcement and the targeted numeric criteria for departments and class sizes. Warner noted that a group met for about three months and discussed different approaches to budget cuts; Provost Randhawa talked with Faculty Senators; Faculty Senate committees discussed options; there were open forums; presentation continued until input was minor and proposals were then made to the president. The Strategic Alignment and Budget Reduction Review Committee (SABRRC) then met to review proposed alignments. The documents signed by the president went back and forth
between various groups. Selker questioned whether recommendations have been altered in any way. Warner responded that, under the proposed model, there would have been an additional conversation with faculty when the document was signed by the President explaining why some recommendations were not enacted so all could understand why decisions were made.

- Senator Trujillo thanked the committee for their work and Senator Orosco for his explanation of various models. He met with other Senators who reached a conclusion that they would feel more comfortable in endorsing the report if there were specific stipulations of areas of faculty expertise. They came up with proposed language that they believe is consistent with legal requirements and is based on nationally accepted standards of shared governance. One recommendation deals with principle 4 and one appears on page 14 regarding the role of faculty and two requests that there be an increase in the percentage of seats allocated to the Faculty Senate on particular committees. Trujillo proposed amendments which add specific language (there was no second); he felt that the amendments strengthen the report; and he would like to see the majority of the report adopted at some point.

- Proposed amendments appear as shaded text.
- Amendment #1 – Page 11 Principle 4: Shared governance should be practiced wherever possible in institutional decision-making at all levels. The SGTF recognized the following priority areas in which shared governance needs to be fully implemented: i) planning and organization; ii) academic programs, curriculum, and academic regulations; iii) spending priorities; iv) faculty compensation and advancement; and v) administrative appointments and faculty hiring. Shared governance structures should recognize that faculty bear primary responsibility for curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status and aspects of student life which relate to the educational process. Source: AAUP Statement of Government of Colleges and Universities, section 5 (1966).
- Ciuffetti questioned how this differs from the document. Trujillo responded that this inserts specific language that makes explicit what is already in the report.
- Amendment #2 – Page 14, recommendation 1: Recommendation: The principle of “full-cycle” governance should guide administrators’ interactions with the faculty in general and the Faculty Senate in particular. That is, administrators should solicit faculty input, weigh that input, arrive at decisions, and report back to the faculty on the rationale for those decisions. Faculty judgment should prevail in the areas of curriculum, subject matter and methods of instruction, research, faculty status, and aspects of student life which relate to the educational process. Source: Based on language from the AAUP (1966).
- Amendment #3 – Page 13, recommendation 1: Any committee/task force formed by the president and/or provost to help guide institutional planning should have at least 20% 40% of its membership appointed by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee.
- Amendment #4 – Page 16 recommendation 1: Committees or task forces formed by the president and provost to help guide budget allocation and planning should have at least 20% 40% of its membership appointed by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee.
Parliamentarian Greg Thompson recommended sending the report back to the committee and then bring it back to the Senate rather than spend time talking about proposed revisions. President Higginbotham suggested that the amendments be remanded back to the Executive Committee for review. Ciuffetti appreciated Higginbotham’s offer, but felt that relieving the task force was inappropriate and the task force should be the body to consider the proposed amendments.

**Athletics Update**
Bob DeCarolis, Intercollegiate Athletics Director, and Joey Spatafora, Faculty Athletic Representative (FAR), updated the faculty on topics impacting athletics.

Spatafora explained his role which is to represent the academic interests of the university, specifically the president’s office, in and to the athletic department. He reports to the university president, but works closely with the athletics leadership staff and, in particular, compliance and academic counseling to ensure that student athletes meet their eligibility requirements. The FAR casts votes at the PAC-10 NCAA meetings, signs off on student athlete eligibility and is an advocate for students and holds them accountable.

Spatafora reported there are 495 student-athletes whose majors are distributed across all colleges with the highest concentrations in Liberal Arts, Health & Human Sciences and Business; they have a 63% 6-year graduation rate with a collective GPA of 2.91 with 52% above 3.0. Student-athletes must meet rigorous academic requirements around academic progress; there is a 40/60/80 rule which means they must complete 40% of their degree by the end of the second year, 60% by the end of the third year and 80% by the end of the fourth year. Additionally, each team has an Academic Progress Rate (APR) on a 1000 point scale and each team must achieve at least 925 – all teams either meet or exceed the APR; during the last year the team APR ranged between 933 and 1000. This year 116 OSU student-athletes qualified as PAC-10 All Academic, and OSU is in the top half of the PAC-10.

DeCarolis talked about the conference change – as of July 1 the PAC-10 becomes the PAC-12 with the addition of the University of Utah and the University of Colorado. The changes are primarily in football and will include a north and south division with a playoff championship conference game with at least a spot in the Rose Bowl and, hopefully, in the national championship. The regular season will end Thanksgiving weekend, which means that the Civil War will always be around Thanksgiving.

With the expansion of the conference, DeCarolis explained that the current TV negotiations will end after the upcoming season. Two media packages have been negotiated and agreed upon for the future broadcasts: over-the-air TV (free – ABC and Fox), cable (ESPN, ESPN2, ESPNU, FX and FOX Sports Net). This contract will reportedly generate about $3 billion over 12 years with about $21 million coming to OSU. In the beginning, considering buy-outs, etc., the net new money will be about $9 million in FY 13. The third package that still needs to be negotiated is the development of the PAC-12 network, similar to the Big 10 network, and will include digital rights and TV anywhere (i.e., Blackberry, iPad, etc.) over the next 12 years; this contract should be finalized by end of summer. All football and men’s basketball will be on live TV and the PAC-12 will have about 300 live events that will include all the other Olympic sports. There are opportunities to develop and
market academic and research initiatives, particularly during summer months when no live events are occurring. Objectives related to the financial piece include balancing the budget and eliminate debt by paying back the university and OSU Foundation. Over time athletics may be able to greatly reduce or eliminate E&G subsidies from the institution and enhance operations and facilities for student athletes. DeCarolis will be charging an Advisory Committee, which will have participation from the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, Athletic Advisory Committee, business and finance, and the OSU Foundation, to determine how to achieve the financial objectives outlined.

DeCarolis noted that student-athletes completed 6,000 hours of community service in 2010-2011 and talked about Beavers Without Borders which provides both service projects and an international experience for student-athletes. Fourteen current and past student-athletes raised money to go to Guatemala during spring break and build a house for a family. Taylor Cavanaugh, former Beaver football player, graduated in construction management and organized the effort – he also shot an inspirational video of the build project which DeCarolis had planned to show to Senators. Due to technical difficulties, the three-minute video could not be shown, but can be viewed at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=30VeXpYG4EU&feature=related. DeCarolis noted that other projects are planned in Macedonia and Cambodia.

Senator Plaza questioned the debt amount. DeCarolis responded there is about $15 million in debt, split about evenly between the university and foundation; by the end of FY 13, it could increase to $20 million. He estimated that the debt could be repaid in about five years and that the advisory committee will determine the repayment structure. President Ray noted that an as yet unnegotiated piece could bring in an additional $4-8 million per year per institution; Ray and DeCarolis both agree that this is academic money, not athletics money. DeCarolis is working with individuals in both academics and athletics to determine how to go forward related to the debt; Ray would ultimately like to see net transfers back to the university and noted that E&G funds may still go to athletics for designated purposes with clear accountability.

Hale was visited by a faculty athletic advocate from the baseball team and asked for an explanation of the position. DeCarolis responded that this individual does not have a role and that the situation has been handled by the FAR. Spatafora noted that there is one point person for the university and that is the FAR; there are NOT individual faculty liaisons per team.

Leslie Burns, Executive Committee, asked for an explanation of the processes in place to make sure that students' schedules are being looked at so they are not negatively affected by changes. Spatafora responded that, for the coming year, basketball games will be Thursday and Saturday; it is their understanding that this schedule will be the starting point in subsequent years. He assumes that the scheduling will affect fewer days away from campus. Charter flights, which are geographically feasible, will also lessen the days away from campus. The Athletic Advisory Committee now has final authority for all scheduling of non-conference scheduling.

Senator Wilcox, Health and Human Sciences, questioned the athletics ‘arms race’ related to funding and our wish list. DeCarolis noted that many athletic departments are in the same boat or in worse shape and there will always be an
arms race. He noted that the ‘arms race’ also applies to academics when moving a program forward.

REPORT FROM AND DIALOG WITH THE PROVOST

Provost Sabah Randhawa’s report included the following items:

- He noted this has been a busy year and, on behalf of himself and President Ray, he thanked faculty who have actively participated in the Category I proposals and the accreditation effort, and reported that research productivity is equivalent to last year minus the one-time federal funding.
- Legislative Process – Both SB5532, the OUS operating budget, and SB242, the OUS governance proposal, passed out of the full Ways & Means Committee on June 8 and on to the Chamber floors. SB5532 passed unanimously; SB242 was not unanimous but was supported. The overall operating budget is about $754 million for OUS and is down about 11-12% from last year; E&G is between $510-$515 million and is down about 10-11%; and the state-wide side is positive. There is resistance to capital budget projects with the key issues appearing to be the state debt load and concerns by Republican representatives relating to F Bonds and Lottery Bonds which caused them to vote no. OSU’s critical projects are the College of Business and classroom buildings, as well as deferred maintenance projects; Randhawa is optimistic about results. After the operating and capital budgets are approved, a message will be sent to campus. Following approval of the operating budget, OUS will allocate funds to institutions – which means that we likely will start the fiscal year without a budget.
- Summer items to complete prior to September 15 will be included in the Provost’s year-end report. Critical projects include the Linus Pauling Science Center, Hallie Ford Center for Healthy Children and Families, Education Hall, International Living/Learning Center and the classroom renovation. Other than Hallie Ford, all projects have significant classroom space. He hopes that critical IT projects including research administration and the online reimbursement system will be finalized soon.
- Regarding faculty searches for next year, the specific number of positions can’t be authorized because budget is not known. The deans have been asked to work with department heads and faculty and submit to him a prioritized list of positions within the colleges. Randhawa anticipated that, by August, we should be recruiting up to 45 faculty.
  - Lessons learned from the current faculty hiring cycle include spousal/partner hires. OSU lost top candidates due to a lack of opportunities. Administration is looking at setting aside some funds for spousal/partner hires and will engage the Faculty Senate in the conversation. They will also look at start-up costs and determine what makes sense going forward.
- There is a need for current positions in student and academic support areas. Because Larry Roper noted that 1 out of 33 students coming to OSU have documented disability issues, staffing in the CAPS and Academic Success areas needs to increase.
- In response to Senator Plaza questioning the number of new hires, Randhawa reported that three were centrally authorized, but actually hiring for almost 90 faculty positions. Plaza asked how many faculty retired or left. Randhawa responded that about 30 retired and has asked Jacque Rudolph for attrition information. Out of 60 positions, about 20% are net new. Plaza
expressed concern about large classes and few faculty. Randhawa told Susie Brubaker-Cole to target $3 million for access funding for the first two years of the program, compared to $2.5 million for the current year.

REPORT FROM AND DIALOG WITH THE FACULTY SENATE PRESIDENT

President Higginbotham’s report included the following items:

- The Executive Committee is completing the process of appointing new members to Faculty Senate committees and councils which begin July 1.
- He hopes he has started a new tradition and likes that the Faculty Senate leadership moved to approve the graduates.
- Although it’s known within the units that faculty are promoted each year, Higginbotham would like to start a new tradition by recognizing a faculty member who was recently promoted on behalf of all of the faculty at OSU. To begin this tradition, he recognized Dwaine Plaza.

NEW BUSINESS

Starr McMullen, IFS Senator, noted that big changes to PEBB will come during the summer, including mandatory open enrollment in the fall with dependents dropped if enrollment doesn’t occur, and she encouraged faculty to watch their email over the summer.

Meeting adjourned at 5:02 PM.

Roll Call

Members Present:
Agricultural Sciences: Arp, Auyong, Borman, Dreher, Goddick, Gregory, Sears, Selker, Sorte, Strik, Thompson
Business: Berger, King, K.Calder v. LeMay, Lykins, M. Swift for Moore
Cascades: Browne
Education: Drake Clark, Helback, Orozco
Engineering: Bailey, Bay, Bell, Koretsky, Magaña, Semprini
Extension: Bubl, Downing, Hein
Forestry: Ganio, Maness, Rosenberger, Vandetta, Zahler
Liberal Arts: Barker, Cramer, Denis, Hale, Hammer, Loges, Orosco, Plaza, Shaw, Steel, Tilt, Trujillo
Library: Mellinger
Oceanic & Atmospheric Sciences: Davis, de Szoeke, Spitz
Pharmacy: Proteau, Suchy
ROTC: Campbell
Science: A. Kreigs v. Dilles, Flahive, Jansen, Mason, Remcho, Tate, Thomann, Trempy
Student Affairs: J. Alexander, Day, Kasper
Veterinary Medicine: Heidel, Sisson

Members Absent:
Agricultural Sciences: Braunworth, Cassidy, Curtis, Field, Herring, Hino, Perry, Putnum
Associated Faculty: Amas, Babcock, Barr, Cheung, Dempsey, Ott, Perrone, Pierson-Charlton, Sue
Business: Neubaum
Cascades: None absent
Education: None absent
Engineering: McFarlane, Momsen, Paasch, Paul
Extension: Bondi, Deboodt
Forestry: Hansen
Health & Human Sciences: Champeau
Liberal Arts: Cardenas, Gross, Helle, Lee
Library: None absent
Oceanic & Atmospheric Sciences: Duncan, Prahl, Shearman
Pharmacy: None absent
ROTC: None absent
Science: Bogley, Hsu, Karplus, Parks, Rajagopal, Taylor
Student Affairs: Accapadi, E. Alexander, Sanderson, Stroup
Veterinary Medicine: Valentine

Guests Present:

Faculty Senate Officers, Ex-officios and Staff:
Officers: J. Higginbotham, President; K. Hunter-Zaworski, President-Elect; L. Burns, Immediate Past President
Ex-officio Voting Members: L. Bruslind, K. Gable, A. Gillies, S. McMullen, S. Randhawa
Ex-officio Non-voting Members: None present
Staff: V. Nunnemaker

Respectfully submitted:
Vickie Nunnemaker, Faculty Senate Staff