

Fisheries Management Institutions: Perceptions of Economics Agents in Galicia (Spain)

Manuel M. Varela-Lafuente
M^a Dolores Garza-Gil
Carlos Iglesias-Malvido
e-mail: mmvarela@uvigo.es, dgarza@uvigo.es
Departamento de Economía Aplicada. Universidade de Vigo.
36310 VIGO, GALICIA, SPAIN.

Abstract. The object of this paper is to sound the perception of economic agents on the regulatory mechanisms presently in force, and on others which are possible (fishing rights), with the objective of analysing the questions posed by the case of Galicia. For it, we characterise in the first place the agents and the rules in the Galician fishing regulation, and, from it we design a questionnaire to obtain the required information. The exercise is made on the significant sample of fishermen and companies of the sector, and is contrasted with the opinion of the nearest regulator. In the conclusions, we emphasize some points of high acceptance, such as the necessity of controlling the fishing effort, the transferability of fishing rights and their pluriannual duration, emphasising that the latter aspects have been little experienced in Galicia.

Keywords: Fisheries Regulation, Institutional Economy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The success of a regulatory system becomes more uncertain with the greater complexity of a fishery. In general, it is more difficult to regulate multi-species fisheries, resources with complex bio-ecological relations and multi-fleet fisheries. Complexity also increases with the size of a fishery and the number of its members, and with greater mobility both of resource and of fishermen. (Arnason 1991, 1994; Wilen 1989; Townsend 1990; Hannesson 1994; Bjorndal 1992).

But, also, the possibilities of success depend on the existing institutions and on the social and political interaction under which it is applied. It is advisable that fishing administration has credibility among the fishermen, and that they feel participants in the taking of decisions and the regulatory process so that this is applied with a high level of legitimacy. (North, 1990; Ostrom, 1990; Schlager y Ostrom, 1992; Jentoft y McCay, 1995; Gallastegui y Chamorro, 1997; Guillotreau, 1997).

In this sense, if the fishermen exercise their activity with a high degree of uncertainty and think that in future they will not be able to exercise their activity (either due to changes in fishing policy, or for the predatory attitude of

other insufficiently controlled fishermen), they will have a less respectful manner to the natural resource. The implication of the fishermen in decision-taking, in the regulation and control, is therefore of maximum interest. The fishermen will have the incentive to involve themselves in these processes so long as they are guaranteed that they are the possessors of fishing rights respected by the rest of the fishermen, by the economic agents and by the administration.

One possible way to advance in these indicated directions could consist of the progressive implantation of individual fishing rights, both over a portion of the resource (quota), and over the capacity to exercise effort (licence). The defining of these rights would have positive effects: to eliminate uncertainty over who has fishing rights, to simplify the regulatory rules and more deeply involve the fishermen in the rational exploitation of the. (Neher, Arnason y Mollet, 1989).

The objective of this work is to sound the perception of the economic agents over the regulatory mechanisms in force and over other possible (fishing rights in the sense previously mentioned) with the aim of analysing the questions posed by the particular case of Galicia.

2. QUESTIONNAIRE AND SAMPLE

To accomplish our objective we plan the enclosed questionnaire, separating in it the evaluation of measures in force (Table 1: question 1) and other new possibilities (question 2, in some case introduced in Spain in only a partial manner). In

each question there are two boxes referring successively to measure what affects respectively the control of effort and the control of production. In each case segments of the fleet are separated in/by function of the fishing arts. Four degrees are offered to make an evaluation of each particular question.

TABLE 1. QUESTIONNAIRE

<p>1. EVALUATION OF REGULATORY MESURES</p> <p>QUESTION: 1.1. In relation to present regulations, in your opinion, what effectiveness have the following control measures of fishing effort? MEASURES ABOUT: Tonnage, length or power of the ship, size of mesh, length of gear, days at sea. VALUATION: No effectiveness, little, enough, much.</p> <p>QUESTION: 1.2. In relation to present regulations, in your opinion, what importance have the production control measures? MEASURES ABOUT: TACs per year, daily quota, size of minimum catch, period of closed season, closed areas, limited discards. VALUATION: None, little, enough, much.</p>
--

<p>2. EVALUATION OF POSSIBILITIES OF REGULATION</p> <p>QUESTION: 2.1. Licences: If the regulatory organisms were to propose a new system based on fishing licences evaluate whether or not some characteristics that this system could have are favourable. MEASURES ABOUT: Annual duration, duration multiannual, transferability among fishermen, connection to the area, restriction of inputs, control of effort. VALUATION: None favourable, little, enough, very favourable.</p> <p>QUESTION: 2.2. Quotas: Evaluate the characteristics that this could have on the system. MEASURES ABOUT: Annual duration of rights, multiannual duration, transferability among fishermen, connected to the area, restriction of inputs. VALUATION: None favourable, little, enough, very favourable.</p>

The sample is of 121 questionnaires, according to the composition in Table 2. The questionnaires of regulators correspond to those responsible for political and technical aspects of the fishing administration of Galicia. The questionnaires of 'managers' include directors and representatives of fishing associations.

Lines and gillnets	14
Trawl (Fresh).....	11
Pelagic Long-line.....	17
Gran Sol fleet (EU grounds)...	18
Freezer ships	11
TOTAL	121

Table 2: Composition Of The Sample

REGULATOR	2
MANAGERS	16
FISHERMEN:	
Purse Seine	17
Coastal.....	15

Those relative to fishermen were answered by ship-owners, captains and crew of ships which carry out fishing activity in some of those segments that are specified.

design of the sample, the general results will have significance in situations of a high level of consensus and unanimity, but not throughout the averages obtained in each response.

For the presentation of the results we have established, therefore, three principal sections: regulators (Table 3), managers (Table 4), and fishermen in general (Table 5).

3. RESULTS

The results obtained acquire more significance when gathered under specific segments, according to the criteria already mentioned. In this way, given the type of questionnaire and the

Table 3: Regulators

1. Evaluation of regulatory measures

1.1 Control of effort

	Type of ship	Mesh size	Lenght gear	Days at sea
Gillnet	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Trawl	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Purse-seine	B/M		B/M	B/M
Pelagic-longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Demersal-longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Coastal				

1.2. Production control

	TAC	Daily quota	Minimum size	Closed season	Closed area	Discards
Gillnet	B/M		B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Trawl	B/M		B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Purse-seine	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Pelagic-longline	B/M		B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Demersal-longline	B/M		B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Coastal						

2. Evaluation of possibilities of regulation

		Annual duration	Multi-annual duration	Transferability	Connected to area	Restriction of inputs	Effort
Licences	Gillnet	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Trawl	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Purse-seine	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Pelagic-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Demersal-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Coastal						
Quotas	Gillnet	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Trawl	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Purse-seine	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Pelagic-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Demersal-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
	Coastal						

B/M: enough or much

=: equal/the same

N/P:none or little

Table 4: Managers Of Ownership Associations**1. Evaluation of regulatory measures****1.1 Control of effort**

	Type of ship	Mesh size	Length gear	Days of sea
Gillnet	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P
Trawl	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Purse-seine	-	B/M	B/M	B/M
Pelagic-longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	-
Demersal-longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Coastal	-	-	N/P	B/M

1.2. Production control

	TAC	Daily quota	Minimum size	Period of closed season	Closed area	Discards
Gillnet	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Trawl	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Purse-seine	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Pelagic-longline	B/M	N/P	-	B/M	-	N/P
Demersal-longline	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Coastal	N/P	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P

2. Evaluation of possibilities of regulation

		Annual duration	Multi-annual duration	Transferability	Connected to the area	Restriction of inputs	Effort
Licences	Gillnet	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M
	Trawl	N/P	B/M	B/M	-	B/M	B/M
	Purse-seine	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	-	B/M
	Pelagic-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M
	Demersal-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M
	Coastal	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	B/M
		Annual duration	Multi-annual duration	Transferability	Connected to the area	Restriction of inputs	
Quotas	Gillnet	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	
	Trawl	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	
	Purse-seine	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	
	Pelagic-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	
	Demersal-longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	-	
	Coastal	N/P	N/P	B/M	N/P	N/P	

B/M: enough or much

N/P: none or little

-: inperceptible difference

Table 5: Fishermen**1. Evaluation of regulatory measures****1.1 Control of effort**

	Type of ship	Mesh size	Length gear	Days at sea
Coastal	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P
Gillnets	-	B/M	B/M	B/M
Longlines	N/P	N/P	B/M	B/M
Trawl	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M

Purse seiners	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Pelagic longline	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M
Grand Sol Trawl	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M
Grand Sol longline	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M
Freezers	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M

1.2. Production control

	TAC	Daily quota	Min.size	Period of closed season	Closed areas	Discards
Coastal	N/P	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P
Gillnets	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M
Longlines	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Trawl	N/P	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Purse seiners	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P
Pelagic longline	B/M	N/P	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P
Grand Sol Trawl	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	-
Grand Sol longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	-
Freezers	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M	B/M

2. Evaluation of possibilities of regulation

		Annual duration	Multi-annual duration	Transferability	Connected to the area	Restriction of inputs	Effort
Licences	Coastal	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	B/M
	Gillnets	B/M	N/P	B/M	N/P	N/P	B/M
	Longlines	B/M	-	N/P	N/P	-	B/M
	Trawl	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	B/M	B/M
	Purse seiners	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P
	Pelagic longline	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	B/M
	Grand Sol Trawl	B/M	B/M	B/M	N/P	-	B/M
	Grand Sol longline	B/M	B/M	B/M	-	B/M	B/M
	Freezers	N/P	N/P	B/M	N/P	-	B/M
		Annual duration	Multi-annual duration	Transferability	Connected to the area	Restriction of inputs	
Quotas	Coastal	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	
	Gillnets	B/M	N/P	B/M	N/P	B/M	
	Longlines	B/M	-	B/M	N/P	B/M	
	Trawl	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	B/M	
	Purse seiners	N/P	N/P	B/M	N/P	N/P	
	Pelagic longline	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	
	Grand Sol Trawl	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	
	Grand Sol longline	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	B/M	
	Freezers	N/P	B/M	B/M	N/P	N/P	

B/M: enough or much

N/P: none or little

-: inperceptible difference

At the same time, we have established some sub-sectors with a series of fleet segments (purse seine, pelagic long-line, demersal long-line, trawling, coastal fishing, Gran Sol fisheries-EU grounds-, and freezers) obviously distinguishable technically and economically.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The traditional regulatory mechanisms (mesh size, ship and tackle characteristics, TAC and days of fishing) are effective instruments from the perspective of the regulators. The fishermen, in general, recognise their necessity or effectiveness but understand that they may be discriminatory and that they limit their business strategy.

In general it is admitted that licence and quota transferability may be a favourable mechanism for the regulation of fisheries.

Control of effort (in the case of licences) is a requisite evaluated positively.

Multi-annual fishing rights (licences and quotas) is considered a favourable factor.

Other possible characteristics or rights (linked to an area or restriction in inputs), regulators and managers regarded favourably, while among the fishermen there is a diversity of opinion depending on the fleet segment.

There is a notable degree of consensus among the different agents, especially in view of new possibilities of regulation, based on a greater definition of fishing rights.

References

- Arnason, R., Theoretical and Practical fishery Management, in E.A. Loayza (ed), *Managing Fishery Resources*, Washington D.C.: World Bank Discussion Papers. Fishery Series, 3-10, 1994.
- Arnason, R. Efficient Management of Ocean Fisheries, *European Economic review*, Vol. 35, Núm. 2-3, 408-417, 1991.
- Bjorndal, T., "La gestión de las zonas de pesca como recursos de propiedad común", *Revista de Estudios Agro-Sociales*, núm.160, 49-99, 1992.
- Gallastegui, M.C. and Chamorro, J.M. Nuevos avances en la gestión de pesquerías, *Papeles de Economía Española*, Núm. 71, 196-213, 1997.
- Garza-Gil, M-D; Iglesias-Malvido, C.; Suris-Regueiro, J and Varela-Lafuente, M. The Spanish case regarding fishing regulation, *Marine Policy*, 20(3), 249-260, 1996.
- Guillotreau, P. Les droits de propriété des ressources naturelles revisités. Les cas de la pêche de huîtres du Solent, *Economies et Sociétés. Serie Development, croissance et progrès*, F.n^o35,4/1997, 121-146, 1997.
- Hannesson, R., Trends in Fishery Management, in E.A. Loayza (ed), *Managing Fishery Resource*, . Washington D.C.: World Bank Discussion Papers. Fishery Series, 91-96, 1994.
- Jentoft, S. and Mc Cay; B., User participation in fisheries management. Lessons drawn from international experience, *Marine Policy*, vol. 19, 227-246, 1995.
- Neher P.; R. Arnason, and N. Mollet (eds), *Rights Based Fishing*, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- North; D., *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. U.K.: Cambridge University Press., 1990.
- Ostrom, E., *Governing the Commons. The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Schlager, E. and Ostrom, E., Property Rights regimes and Natural Resource: A Conceptual Analysis, *Land Economics*, 68 (3), 249-262, 1992.
- Townsend R.E., Entry Restrictions in the Fishery: A Survey of the Evidence. *Land Economics*, (Nov) 66 (4), 359-378, 1990.
- Wilen, J.E., Rent Generation in Limited Entry Fisheries, in *Rights Based Fishing*, P. Neher, R. Arnason, N.

